


## Last Time: Vectors and Geometry

↳ Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality

↳ Triangle Inequality

$$\hookrightarrow \vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = |\vec{u}| |\vec{v}| \cos(\theta)$$

Ex: Compute angle between  $(1,1)$  and  $(1,0)$



Sol:  $(1,1) \cdot (1,0) = 1 + 0 = 1$

$$|(1,1)| = \sqrt{1^2 + 1^2} = \sqrt{2}$$

$$|(1,0)| = \sqrt{1^2 + 0^2} = 1$$

$$\therefore 1 = \sqrt{2} \cdot 1 \cos(\theta) \quad \text{so} \quad \cos(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \theta = \arccos\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) = \arccos\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right) = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad \square$$

Ex: Compute the angle between  $\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\vec{v} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -5 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Sol:  $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} = -1 + 0 + 2 - 5 = -4$

$$|\vec{u}| = \sqrt{1^2 + 0^2 + 2^2 + 1^2} = \sqrt{6}$$

$$|\vec{v}| = \sqrt{(-1)^2 + 1^2 + 1^2 + (-5)^2} = \sqrt{27 + 1^2}$$

$$\therefore -4 = \sqrt{6} \sqrt{27 + 1^2} \cos(\theta) \quad \text{yields} \quad \theta = \arccos\left(-\frac{4}{\sqrt{6} \sqrt{27 + 1^2}}\right)$$

Today: Reduced Row Echelon Form. (RREF)

Ex: Compute the RREF of  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & -1 & 0 & -6 \\ 2 & 1 & 4 & 2 & -3 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = A$

Sol: Perform row operations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & -6 \\ 2 & 5 & -1 & 2 & -3 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{p_2 \leftrightarrow p_1} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & 0 & 0 & -6 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & -1 & 2 & -3 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\frac{1}{2}p_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 2 & 5 & -1 & 2 & -3 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{p_3 - 2p_1 \\ p_4 - 3p_1}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -5 & 4 & 1 & 14 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\substack{p_1 - 2p_2 \\ p_3 - p_2 \\ p_4 + 5p_2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -9 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 11 & 29 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{p_3 \leftrightarrow p_4} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -9 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 11 & 29 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{-p_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & -4 & -9 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -11 & -29 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\substack{p_2 + p_3 \\ p_1 - 2p_3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 18 & 49 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -9 & -26 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -11 & -29 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\therefore \text{RREF}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 18 & 49 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -9 & -26 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -11 & -29 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

✓

Defn: A matrix  $M$  is in reduced row echelon form (or simply RREF) when

① All rows with only 0 entries are at the bottom of the matrix

(i.e. every 0-row appears below every nonzero row)

② Every nonzero row has a leading 1

(i.e. the first nonzero entry in every nonzero row is 1)

③ Every leading 1 is the only nonzero entry

in its column.

- ④ Leading 1's appear in the same order left to right as they do top to bottom. (i.e. leftmost leading 1 is at top, etc).

Ex: Consider the matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ this matrix IS in RREF! } \square$$

Claim: Every matrix has a unique RREF

Defn: Matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are row equivalent when there is a sequence of row operations transforming  $A$  into  $B$ .

Lem: Elementary row operations are reversible.

Elementary operations:

- Swap two rows,
- multiply a row by nonzero scalar.
- Add two rows, replace one.

pf: we treat each row operation separately:

Swaps:  $p_i \leftrightarrow p_j$

is inverted by  $p_i \leftrightarrow p_j$

$$\begin{array}{l} i \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} p_i \text{---} \\ \text{---} p_j \text{---} \end{array} \right] \\ j \rightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} p_j \text{---} \\ \text{---} p_i \text{---} \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

$$\left[ \begin{array}{c} \text{---} p_j \text{---} \\ \text{---} p_i \text{---} \end{array} \right]$$



Scaling:  $k l_i$  is inverted by  $\frac{1}{k} l_i$

$$\left( \text{picture } i: \begin{bmatrix} \overline{r_i} \\ \overline{\phantom{r_i}} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{k l_i} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{k r_i} \\ \overline{\phantom{r_i}} \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{k} l_i} \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\frac{1}{k} k r_i} \\ \overline{\phantom{r_i}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{r_i} \\ \overline{\phantom{r_i}} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Add:  $l_i + l_j \rightarrow l_j$  is inverted by the following sequence

$$M \xrightarrow{-l_i} M' \xrightarrow{l_i + l_j \rightarrow l_j} M'' \xrightarrow{-l_i} M'''$$

(Verify this using pictures 😊)



Point: Row equivalence is an equivalence relation:

① Every matrix is row equivalent to itself.

② If  $A$  is row equiv. to  $B$ , then  $B$  is row equivalent to  $A$ .

③ If  $A$  is row equiv. to  $B$  and  $B$  is row equiv. to  $C$ , then  $A$  is row equiv. to  $C$ .

\* Lem (Linear Combination Lemma): A linear combination of linear combinations is itself a linear combination.

i.e. Given linear combs:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,1} \vec{u}_1 + a_{1,2} \vec{u}_2 + \dots + a_{1,n} \vec{u}_n &= \vec{v}_1 \\ a_{2,1} \vec{u}_1 + a_{2,2} \vec{u}_2 + \dots + a_{2,n} \vec{u}_n &= \vec{v}_2 \\ &\vdots \\ a_{m,1} \vec{u}_1 + a_{m,2} \vec{u}_2 + \dots + a_{m,n} \vec{u}_n &= \vec{v}_m \end{aligned}$$

Every linear combination of  $\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m$  is a linear combination of  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \dots, \vec{u}_n$ .

Pf: With the notation above, consider the linear combination of the  $v_i$ 's below:

$$\begin{aligned} & b_1 \vec{v}_1 + b_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + b_m \vec{v}_m \\ &= b_1 (a_{1,1} \vec{u}_1 + a_{1,2} \vec{u}_2 + \dots + a_{1,n} \vec{u}_n) \\ & \quad + b_2 (a_{2,1} \vec{u}_1 + a_{2,2} \vec{u}_2 + \dots + a_{2,n} \vec{u}_n) \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad + b_m (a_{m,1} \vec{u}_1 + a_{m,2} \vec{u}_2 + \dots + a_{m,n} \vec{u}_n) \\ &= b_1 a_{1,1} \vec{u}_1 + b_1 a_{1,2} \vec{u}_2 + \dots + b_1 a_{1,n} \vec{u}_n \\ & \quad + b_2 a_{2,1} \vec{u}_1 + b_2 a_{2,2} \vec{u}_2 + \dots + b_2 a_{2,n} \vec{u}_n \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad + b_m a_{m,1} \vec{u}_1 + b_m a_{m,2} \vec{u}_2 + \dots + b_m a_{m,n} \vec{u}_n \\ &= (b_1 a_{1,1} + b_2 a_{2,1} + \dots + b_m a_{m,1}) \vec{u}_1 \\ & \quad + (b_1 a_{1,2} + b_2 a_{2,2} + \dots + b_m a_{m,2}) \vec{u}_2 \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \quad + (b_1 a_{1,n} + b_2 a_{2,n} + \dots + b_m a_{m,n}) \vec{u}_n \end{aligned}$$

So the result is indeed a linear combination of  $\vec{u}_i$ 's  $\square$

Cor: If  $A$  is row equiv to  $B$ , then the rows of  $B$  are linear combinations of rows of  $A$ .

pf: We proceed by mathematical induction on the number of elementary operations performed to obtain  $B$  from  $A$ .  $\rightarrow 0$

Base Case: If we perform 0 row operations, we have the same matrix.

So  $p_1 = p_1, p_2 = p_2, \dots, p_m = p_m$  are linear combinations of the old rows.

Induction step: Assume this holds for any sequence of  $n$  elementary row operations.

Applying one more row operation yields a linear combination of the resulting linear combinations (i.e. from the first  $n$  steps), because each row operation results in a linear combination of rows.

Hence, by the linear combination lemma, the result is a linear combination of rows of  $A$ .

By mathematical induction, the result holds  $\square$

Next Time: Finish the proof, and discuss consequences of uniqueness 😊

1  
2  
3  
⋮  
n  
n+1  
⋮